Dlaintiff.

Thomas E. Satkiewicz
116 Stonetree Circle
Rochester Hills, Michigan 48309
Telephone: (248) 370-0381
E Mail Address:

Case.2:11-cv-14370 Judge: Cook, Julian Abele MJ: Michelson, Laurie J. Filed: 10-04-2011 At 12:42 PM CMP THOMAS E. SATKIEWICZ V STATE OF MICHIGAN, ET AL (LG)

Defendants:

State of Michigan Governor Rick Snyder (517) 373-3400 Attorney General Bill Schuette (517) 373-1110 Michigan Department of Civil Rights Treasurer Andv Dillion (517) 373-3223 Dr. Daniel Krichbaum (517) 335-7824

Complaint:

If the U.S. Constitution is to mean something, the Equal Protection of the Law Clause must mean that the U.S. Government will provide protection to all citizens who are victims of a crime with the same force of law as all other victims of different crimes. Citizens will be victims of different crimes. Should some citizens who are victims of certain crimes be more protected, then citizens who are victims of a different crime, just because of the crime? Does the U.S. President (Government) have the right to pick and choose which laws to enforce? Did U.S. President take an oath to enforce all the Laws of the Country? The Equal Protection of the Law clause of the 14Th Amendment is mandating that the State of Michigan must protect all Citizens of crime in Michigan with the same investigation force.

Each of the defendants violated the Equal Protection of the Law Clause in the 14TH Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, when they failed to enforce the Michigan Elliot/Larsen Civil Rights Act 453 of 1976 with regards to Age Discrimination in Employment. They fail to properly enforce the Age Discrimination in Employment Clause of the Michigan Elliot/Larsen Civil Rights Act 453 of 1976, so that, older worker have no chance to gain employment. The defendants failed to properly investigate complaints of Age Discrimination in Employment, and because the defendants fail to properly investigate complaints of Age Discrimination in Employment, the State of Michigan has failed to properly investigate complaints of Age Discrimination in Employment. So that the State of Michigan treats victims of the Crime of Age Discrimination in Employment differently then victims of other crime, which the U.S. Supreme Courts has held is a violation of the Equal Protection Clause in the 14TH Amendment to the U.S. Constitution. The U.S. Supreme Court has held that a State cannot treat Citizens differently, because we are all Citizens, as shown by the ruling in the following cases: Strauder vs. West Virginia 1880, Yick Wo vs. Hopkins 1886, Brown vs. Board of Education 1954, Hernandez vs. Texas 1954, Reynolds vs. Sims 1964, and Wesberry vs. Sanders 1964.

The State of Michigan must provide an Equal Application of protection of the Laws to all Citizens. The State of Michigan cannot pick and choose which laws that it will enforce and which laws it will ignore. The State of Michigan cannot pick and choose for whom, the State of Michigan will protect by enforcing a law, and who, the State of Michigan doesn't have to protect, because the citizen is a victim of a different crime. With Age Discrimination in Employment, the State of Michigan has not found a single

4

case Age Discrimination in Employment since the Elliot/Larsen Civil Rights Act 453 of 1976 was signed into law

The State of Michigan Department of Civil Rights (MDCR) will take a complaint from Citizen on Age Discrimination in Employment. The MDCR will place the complaint on a form. The MDCR will mail the complaint to the Employer. The Employer will give a written response that will include a statement that the employer has a policy against Age Discrimination in Employment. The MDCR will receive the response of the Employer. MDCR will read the response to the complainant, and MDCR will tell the complainant that they could not find any Age Discrimination in Employment. MDCR will also state that the Employer has a policy against Age Discrimination in Employment. MDCR will close the case as having no merit without verifying what the employer stated was true.

I filed a complaint against Oakland University in Rochester Hills, Michigan with a complaint number of 420579. I filed a complaint against Comcast in Auburn Hills, Michigan with a complaint number of 419346. I filed a complaint against Continental Automotive system in Auburn Hills, Michigan with a complaint number of 421869. With the Continental Automotive System, the MDCR investigator refuses to check the information that was provided by the employer to be true. This investigator stated in a telephone call that it was my responsibility to check whether the employer's information was true. With the Oakland University and the Comcast complaint the investigator found that both hirers had 4 years of experience with a Bachelor Degree and would not tell me their ages. I estimate both of their ages to be late twenties or early thirties from information that the investigator gave to me in telephone call. I could not see the investigation reports without paying the State of Michigan. I am unemployed, so I could not afford the price. I am 61 years old with over 40 years of experience of doing the work that the Employer wanted, and I have Master Degree. The investigators could not find any Age Discrimination in employment.

Had I been a victim of any other type of crime the Investigator would have visited the alleged criminal and made follow up visit to ask additional questions. With Age Discrimination in Employment complaint, an investigation is only two letters. The only way that the MDCR will find Age Discrimination in Employment is if the employer will confess.

The Michigan Department of Treasury will contact you by telephone if your tax payment doesn't post in seven day. The Michigan Department of Treasury wants to know where your tax payment is. The payment was sent in, but the payment is in Michigan Department of Treasury system, but the Michigan Department of Treasury is late in posting the payment. Should the Michigan Department of Civil Right be as diligent as the Michigan Department of Treasury is in collecting taxes when the Michigan Department of Civil Rights is investigating a complaint of Age Discrimination in Employment?

Thomas Sackiewing

2:11-cv-14370-JAC-LJM Doc # 1 Filed 10/04/11 Pg 3 of 4 Pg ID 3 CIVIL COVER SHEET County in which action arose

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

ne civii docket sheet. (SEE IN	ISTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF	THE COUNTY			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
Thomas e. Satkiewicz			State of Michigan, Michigan Department of Civil Rights, Governor Rick Snyder, Michigan Attorney General Bill Schuette, Michigan Treasurer Andy Dillion,		
Thomas of Survivors	2//	1. 7			Treasurer Andy Dillion,
		16/	Director Dr. Daniel Kri		
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff oakland	l •	County of Residence of	First Listed Defendant	IngHam
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)		
(2)	,		NOTE: IN LAND	CONDEMNATION CASES, US	E THE LOCATION OF THE
				IVOLVED.	
			ļ		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name	, Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Known)		
Thomas e Satkiewicz, 116 Sto	onetree Circle, Rochester Hills, Mich	higan 48309, (248)	Bill Schuette, (517) 373	3-1110	
370-0381	,				
II. BASIS OF JURISD	Place an "X" in One B	ox Only) III.	. CITIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
	// /		(For Diversity Cases Only)		and One Box for Defendant)
☐ 1 U.S. Government /	■ 3 Federal Question		Citizen of This State		PTF DEF incipal Place
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Par	rty)	Citizen of This State	of Business In This	a
_					
☐ 2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity	į	Citizen of Another State		
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Part	ties in Item III)		of Business In A	Another State
	(indicate Citizensinp of Fari		Citizen or Subject of a	3	06 06
			Foreign Country	J J Foldigh Nacion	
THE DESCRIPTION OF STREET	T		Totolgh County		
	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
CONTRACT		DOGGALLE INVESTOR		☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment
110 Insurance		RSONAL INJURY 2 Personal Injury -	☐ 610 Agriculture ☐ 620 Other Food & Drug	☐ 423 Withdrawal	☐ 410 Antitrust
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 36 ☐ 315 Airplane Product	Med. Malpractice	☐ 625 Drug Related Scizure	28 USC 157	☐ 430 Banks and Banking
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument		5 Personal Injury -	of Property 21 USC 881		☐ 450 Commerce
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Product Liability	☐ 630 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS	460 Deportation
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander □ 368	8 Asbestos Personal	640 R.R. & Truck	☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
7 151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers' Liability	Injury Product Liability	☐ 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 660 Occupational	☐ 840 Trademark	☐ 480 Consumer Credit
/1 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans		SONAL PROPERTY	Safety/Health		☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV
(Excl. Veterans)		0 Other Fraud	☐ 690 Other		☐ 810 Selective Service
153 Recovery of Overpayment		1 Truth in Lending	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	850 Securities/Commodities/ Evaluation
of Veteran's Benefits		O Other Personal	710 Fair Labor Standards Act	 7 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) 	Exchange 875 Customer Challenge
 ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract 	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability ☐ 385	Property Damage 5 Property Damage	☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410
	☐ 360 Other Personal	Product Liability	☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury		& Disclosure Act	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act
REAL PROPERTY		ONER PETITIONS 0 Motions to Vacate	☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	893 Environmental Matters
1 210 Land Condemnation	187 179 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Sentence	790 Other Labor Engation 791 Empl, Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	■ 894 Energy Allocation Act
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment 443 Housing/	beas Corpus:	Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	☐ 895 Freedom of Information
☐ 240 Torts to Land	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0 General	,	26 USC 7609	Act
245 Tort Product Liability		5 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION		900Appeal of Fee Determination
290 All Other Real Property			 ☐ 462 Naturalization Application ☐ 463 Habeas Corpus - 		Under Equal Access to Justice
		0 Civil Rights 5 Prison Condition	Alien Detainee		☐ 950 Constitutionality of
	Other	51 Haon Condition	☐ 465 Other Immigration		State Statutes
	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights		Actions		
	1				
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
ODICIN	(m): 0 n O.D				Appeal to District
	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from 3 Reman	ided from 1 4		erred from 6 Multidistr	rict 7 Judge from
		ate Court	Reopened anothe	er district Litigation	
	Cit 4 II C Civil Statute us	dos which you are fi	ling (Do not cite jurisdictions		
/	Equal Protection and Due Pr	ocess of the law Clai	uses in the 14TH Amendment to	o the U.S. Constitution	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Drief description of cause:				***
	The State of Michigan treats	victims of Age Disci	rimination in Employment diffe	rently, then victims of other of	rimes.
VII. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS IS A C	T ASS ACTION	DEMAND \$ 15,000,000,0	00,000.00 CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:
		LASS ACTION	DEMINISTER OF THE PROPERTY OF	JURY DEMAND	M Yes □ No
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23			JUNI DEIVIAND	2 100
VIII. RELATED CAS	SE(S)				
IF ANY	(See instructions): JUDO	3E		DOCKET NUMBER	
HI ZMAT					
DATE	SI	GNATURE OF ATTO	RNEY OF RECORD		
September 26, 2011		Ny man	JAINIEL TO	•	
		Ther -	Towwer y		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			<i>-</i>		

RECEIPT#

AMOUNT

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

2:11-cv-14370-JAC-LJM Doc # 1 Filed 10/04/11 Pg 4 of 4 Pg ID 4

PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.11

1.	Is this a case that has been previously dismissed?	Yes
If yes, giv	ve the following information:	No
Court:		
Case No.:	<u> </u>	
Judge:		
2.	Other than stated above, are there any pending or previously discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this or any other court, including state court? (Companion cases are matters in which it appears substantially similar evidence will be offered or the same or related parties are present and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.)	
If yes, giv	ve the following information:	
Court:		
Case No.	:	
Judge:		
and the con-		
No SE		